This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713617200

Communication: Double Bond Migration of a 1,5-Anhydro-3-*C-p*-Tolylsulfonyl-D-Hex-2-Enitol Derivative and the Corresponding 5a-Carba-Dl-Sulfonyl Sugar

Tohru Sakakibara; Miwa Shindo; Shinya Narumi; Chihiro Nagano; Yasuhiro Kajihara

To cite this Article Sakakibara, Tohru , Shindo, Miwa , Narumi, Shinya , Nagano, Chihiro and Kajihara, Yasuhiro(2000) 'Communication: Double Bond Migration of a 1,5-Anhydro-3-*C-p*-Tolylsulfonyl-D-Hex-2-Enitol Derivative and the Corresponding 5a-Carba-Dl-Sulfonyl Sugar', Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 19: 6, 783 – 788

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328300008544116 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328300008544116

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

COMMUNICATION

DOUBLE BOND MIGRATION OF A 1,5-ANHYDRO-3-*C-p*-TOLYLSULFONYL-D-HEX-2-ENITOL DERIVATIVE AND THE CORRESPONDING 5a-CARBA-dL-SULFONYL SUGAR

Tohru Sakakibara,* Miwa Shindo,^a Shinya Narumi,^a Chihiro Nagano,^a and Yasuhiro Kajihara

 Faculty of Science, Yokohama City University, Seto Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama 236-0027, Japan
^a Graduate School of Integrated Science, Yokohama City University, Seto Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama 236-0027, Japan

Received September 17, 1999 - Final Form March 21, 2000

Although the rate of proton abstraction (kinetic acidity) frequently plays an essential role in determination of reaction pathways and is of theoretical interest,¹ it is still controversial whether an oxygen atom activates or deactivates the abstraction of an α -hydrogen atom of an ether. For example, it is well known that oxidative elimination of a seleno group gives an allyl ether as the major product, indicating the oxygen atom deactivates the kinetic acidity.² Abstraction of the equatorial hydrogen atom at C-2 of



6-methyl-1,3-oxathiane-3,3-dioxide 1 is slower than that at C-4.³ On the other hand, the bridgehead hydrogen atom (H_b) adjacent to the oxygen atom of piperazinedione (2) is abstracted more readily than that of the alternative one (H_a).⁴

We have reported that the double bond migration of 3-C-nitrohex-2-enitol 3 occurred more smoothly than that of the corresponding 5a-carba sugar $6.^5$ On the basis of these results we achieved an unprecedented example, in which a vinyl ether (glycal) became a major product by oxidative elimination of the seleno group of $7.^5$ If these results have generality, the double bond migration of 2-enitol derivatives having an electron-withdrawing group at C-3 should also proceed more smoothly than the corresponding 5a-carba sugar.



We now report the double bond migration of 1,5-anhydro-3-*C-p*-tolylsulfonyl-Dhex-2-enitol 8 and the corresponding 5a-carba-DL-sulfonyl sugar 13. Furthermore, we examined by *ab initio* calculation whether the thermodynamic stability of the glycal derivatives thus prepared is corroborated or not.

Double bond migration of sulfonyl sugar 8^6 occurred slowly by treatment with Et₃N in CDCl₃. The ratio of starting material 8 to D-allal 9 became 15 : 1, 2.0 : 1, and 0 : 1 after 3, 23, and 30 days, respectively. Under these conditions, there was no evidence



for formation of the alternative 3-epimer (D-glucal 11), suggesting that 9 was the kinetically controlled product.

Similar treatment of 5a-carba sugar 13^7 in the presence of excess (x16) Et₃N for 14 days resulted only in the recovery of unreacted 13. However, when compound 13 was treated with Et₃N in DM SO- d_6 for 13 days, a 5.6 : 1 : 1.2 mixture of starting material 13, DL-allal 14, and DL-glucal 16 was obtained. Under the same conditions, 8 gave a 1.2 : 1 mixture of D-allal 9 and D-glucal 11. These results showed that the double bond migration of 8 occurred more easily than that of 13. Treatment of 8 with DBU in DM SO- d_6 for 2 h afforded a 1 : 8.4 equilibrium mixture of 9 and 11; the equilibrium was confirmed by the use of 9 and 11, respectively, as starting material under the same conditions. When compound 13 was treated with DBU in CDCl₃ for 1 day, a 1 : 1 mixture of DL-deoxyallal 14 and DL-deoxyglucal 16 was obtained. Under the same conditions, compounds 14 and 16 were completely recovered. However, when the reaction time was prolonged to 25 days the DL-deoxyglucal 16 was formed as the sole product. These results suggested that 14 was the kinetically controlled product and 16 the thermodynamically controlled product for the reaction. Regardless of these reaction conditions, the double bond migration should proceed by abstraction of an anomeric proton, followed by protonation at C-3.



We performed 6-31G* level calculations⁸ using model compounds (10 vs. 12 and 15 vs. 17) to determine if the calculations could corroborate the experimental results. Different from a planar nitro group, a pyramidal sulfonyl group has many conformers, from which three gauche conformers were calculated for 3-C-sulfonyl-D-allal 10 and Dglucal 12. The most stable conformers were further calculated by including solvent via the Onsager reaction model (ε =4.81, corresponding to the dielectric constant of CHCl₃).



Figure 1. Newman projection of conformers calculated for 12 (value in parentheses shows relative stability in vacuo: the smaller the more stable) and the most stable conformer for 10 (D).

Table 1. Heat of formation (HF), bond length (sugar numbering), dihedral angle, and NBO analysis of methylsulfonyl compounds calculated by $6-31G^*$ with full optimization including solvent via the Onsager reaction model (ϵ =4.81)

Comp	HF (Hartree)	Bond length (Å)			Dihedral angle π - σ * _{C-S} kJ/mol	
		05-C1	C1-C2	C2-C3	∠MeSC3C2	$(n_{O5}-\pi^{*}C=C)$
10	-1081.6443807	1.344	1.323	1.508	-167°	28.1 (182.6)
12	-1081.6459875	1.348	1.321	1.511	-164°	16.0 (164.1)
15	-1045.8300841		1.321	1.521	-166°	25.2
17	-1045.8326001		1.320	1.514	-162°	13.8

The conformer A was found to be the most stable (Fig. 1), probably due to the absence of electrostatic repulsion between an oxygen atom of the sulfonyl group and the oxygen atom at C-4. Assuming that the ring oxygen atom has no effect on the conformational preference of the sulfonyl group, we employed the most stable conformer obtained in the corresponding sulfonyl sugars as input data for 5a-carba sugars 15 and 17.

The D-glucal 11 was more stable than the D-allal 9 by 5.3 kJ/mol from the experiment (25 °C) and by 4.2 kJ/mol from *ab initio* calculation of model compounds 12 and 10. Although the energy difference between the 5a-carba sugars 14 and 16 could not be determined, because the DL-deoxyallal 14 could not be detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy under the thermodynamically controlled conditions, the deoxyglucal 17 was more favorable than the deoxyallal 15 by 6.6 kJ/mol using *ab initio* calculations. Thus relative stabilities calculated are in good agreement with the experimental results.

DOUBLE BOND MIGRATION

The lone pair on the ring oxygen atom (O-5) delocalized to the σ^*_{C-S} bond via the double bond as judged from bond length and donor-acceptor values (Table.1); for example, O5-C1, C1-C2, and C2-C3 bond length of 10 is slightly shorter, longer, shorter than the corresponding ones of 12. However, this delocalization is less effective than with the



nitro sugar; for example, donor acceptor stabilization⁹ between $\pi_{C=C}$ and σ^*_{C-S} is 28.1 kJ/mol for the allal 10, while that between $\pi_{C=C}$ and σ^*_{C-N} is 49.8 kJ/mol for the corresponding 3-*C*- nitroallal.¹⁰

It was noteworthy that the double bond migration of 3-C-nitro-2-enitol 3 gave the D-glucal 4 as a preliminary product, which then epimerized to afford a 2.5 : 1 equilibrium mixture of D-glucal 4 and D-allal 5.¹¹ However, in the corresponding sulfonyl sugar 8 the D-allal 9 was first formed, and then epimerized to give a 1:8.4 equilibrium mixture of the D-allal 9 and the D-glucal 11. A conventional carbanion having an electron withdrawing group such as a carbonyl group at the α - position has a planar structure, while the stabilities of the planar and pyramidal structures of α -sulfonylcarbanion are almost the Although ab initio calculation has not yet succeeded in reproducing the same.¹² experimental results, it is likely that destabilization between the lone pair on the ring oxygen atom (O-5) and an intermediary α -sulfonylcarbanion makes the arabino configuration (the anion occupies the quasiaxial position) less stable, owing to more effective overlap of the molecular orbitals occupying these electrons, than the *ribo* one to afford the D-allal 9 as the preliminary product. If this is the case, the ratio of DL-allal 14 to DL-glucal 16 should be decreased in the 5a-carba sugar 13, because such destabilization is absent in the 5a-carba sugar. In fact 13 gave a 1 : 1 mixture of 14 and 16 under kinetically controlled conditions, as had been mentioned already.

In conclusion the double bond migration of sulfonyl sugar 8 expectedly proceeded more smoothly than that of 5a-carba sugar 13. *Ab initio* calculations of model compounds (10 vs. 12 and 15 vs. 17) reproduced the relative thermodynamic stabilities. The D-allal 9 was the kinetic product formed from the sulfonyl sugar 8, whereas the Dglucal 4 was the product from the nitro sugar 3.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- For example, T-L Ho, "Polarity Control for Synthesis," John Wiley & Sons. Inc., 1991, New York; C. F. Bernasconi, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 54, 2335 (1982).
- For example, K. B. Sharpless and R. F. Lauer, J. Org. Chem., 39, 429 (1974); N. Kondo, H. Fujimoto, M. Makino, N. Nakaoka, I. Aoki, and S. Uemura, J. Org. Chem., 59, 5254 (1994).
- 3. K. Fuji, Y. Usami, K. Sumi, M. Ueda, and K. Kajiwara, Chem. Lett., 1655 (1986).
- 4. R. M. Williams, J.-S. Dung, J. Josey, R. W. Armstrong, and H. Meyers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 3214 (1983).
- 5. T. Sakakibara, S. Ito, H. Ikegawa, I. Matsuo, and A. Seta, *Tetrahderon Lett.*, 34, 3429 (1993).
- 6. Starting material, T. Sakakibara and A. Sakai, unpublished data.
- Starting material, T. Sakakibara, C. Nagano, M. Shindo, and Y. Kajihara, unpublished data.
- Gaussian 94, Revision C.3, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, T. Keith, G. A. Petersson, J. A. Montgomery, K. Raghavachari, M. A. Al-Laham, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. V. Ortiz, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, C. Y. Peng, P. Y. Ayala, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, J. S. Binkley, D. J. Defrees, J. Baker, J. P. Stewart, M. Head-Gordon, C. Gonzalez, and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh PA, 1995.
- 9. Hyperconjugative stabilization energies were calculated by second-order perturbation theory analysis of the off-diagonal Fock matrix elements in the natural bond orbital (NBO) basis: E. D. Glendening, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, and F. Weinhold, *NBO Version 3.*1.
- A. Seta, C. Nagano, S. Ito, K. Tokuda, T. Tamura, T. Kamitani, and T. Sakakibara, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 39, 591 (1998).
- 11. T. Sakakibara, Y. Nomura, and R. Sudoh, Carbohydr. Res., 124, 53 (1983).
- 12. D. A. Bors and A. Streitwieser, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108, 1397(1986).